Sean Chang, Jeffrey Chen, Patrick Mogan | {schang18, jchen623, pjmogan}@stanford.edu **Determining Style of Paintings Using Deep Learning**



Background

somewhat ambiguous styles. We decided Furthermore, many art pieces have of knowledge and experience. Accurately identifying the style of an art enthusiasts classify the style of any given tool that can help inexperienced art to take the first steps in implementing a piece requires a keen eye and a great deal

Data

- ٧ extracted the 10 most common styles WikiArt.org, and their corresponding title, our model [1]. This dataset consists of By Numbers" to train, validate, and test We are using Kaggle's dataset "Painters style, genre, artist, and date of creation. -sized colored paintings primarily from ~103,000 unique images of differently for throughout the dataset of which we There are originally 125 styles accounted
- x 256 x 3 pixels and an 80/10/10 train, dev, ensure that each class augmentation to applied data resized images to 256 and test set split. We has roughly 8,000

training images.

٧





Models

- and interpret results [2]. layer CNN to quickly get a model training For our baseline, we used a simple three
- V For our subsequent models, we used a make it safer to train deeper models [3]. shortcut connections between layers and three-layer residual blocks that allow for ResNet-50 model, which contains 16

ResNet-50 Architecture

block 256-d

	Softmax
	1000-dimensional FC
	Average pool
	$\left(1\times1,2048\right)$
	$3 \times \left\{ 3 \times 3,512 \right\}$
	$\left(\begin{array}{c}1\times1,512\end{array}\right)$
() •	$1 \times 1,1024$
1x1,	$6 \times \left\langle 3 \times 3, 256 \right\rangle$
SAC	$\left\{\begin{array}{c}1\times1,256\end{array}\right\}$
343	$(1 \times 1, 512)$
1x1,	$4 \times \left\langle 3 \times 3, 128 \right\rangle$
	$\begin{pmatrix} 1 \times 1, 128 \end{pmatrix}$
	$(1 \times 1, 256)$
residual	$3 \times \left\{ 3 \times 3, 64 \right\}$
ResNe	$\begin{pmatrix} 1 \times 1, 64 \end{pmatrix}$
	3×3 max pool, stride 2
	7×7 , 64, stride 2

٧ number of residual blocks left unfrozen Additional testing focused heavily on during training and dropout rate for fully hyperparameter turning, such as the connected layers.

Results

Model	Precision	Recall	F1 Score
Baseline*	0.075	0.024	0.023
Resnet-50: Transfer Learning*	0.475	0.472	0.466
Resnet-50: Transfer Learning	0.516	0.514	0.509
Resnet-50: 1 Residual Block Retrained	0.592	0.588	0.587
Resnet-50: 2 Residual Block Retrained	0.587	0.581	0.582
Resnet-50: 3 Residual Block Retrained	0.608	0.592	0.593
Resnet-50: 2 Residual Blocks Retrained and			
Dropout		0 50	0.587

٧ Our best performing model was a Results on the test data can be seen blocks trained on augmented data. ResNet-50 with three retrained residual





٧ Class activation map shows whitespace against black and white images. most pertinent to classification, Ilustrating a potential model weakness





Discussion

- Our model did not perform as well as a similar tasks [4]. performed comparably to models built for panel of art experts would, but it
- ٧ Most mistakes involved classifying a suggesting the need to train on more painting's style as one that is represented paintings from underrepresented classes more frequently in the original dataset,
- V classification of painting style (see Class activation maps give better insight Results) [5]. into model performance and specific feature detection that cause incorrect
- ٧ Style is a large umbrella for classification, drastically. classified as the same style can differ as style is often quite broad and paintings

Future Work

- ٧ Reduce overfitting and improve data for worse-performing classes. decay, and increasing amount of training performance with early stopping, weight
- creation or artist. classification tasks, such as year of Test the model on and tailor it to other art

References

I lésaglé deuire by Mindeas 2017. Web. 14 January 3019 fütjast/www.baglis.com/c/patiets bynambes. 2] pareaurs 244. Be 2 2018. Not 12 February 3019. Betras/githes.com/presenta? 446.95. 2] pareaurs 244. Betras 2018. Par 12 February 3019. Betras/githes.com/presenta? 446.95. 2) K. Hw. Z. Tamus S. Ren, and J. Sun. Teep Residual Learning for Image Recognition. Computer Vision and Fatters Recognition. (Somital Authority Arts, anvicing. Jacossed Match 15, 407) with deep daming 4, 404. A Location & Neopresegre, and F. Yop, "Recogniting Ast Sigle Automatically in pasting with deep assempt. J. Auch. Househop and Conference To Georgeopp. 2017. [Smithed, Authoritically in pasting with deep assempt. J. Auch. Househop and Conference To Georgeopp. 2017. [Smithed, Authoritically in pasting with deep assempt.] J. J. Auch. Househop and Conference To Georgeopp. 2017. [Smithed, Autharitical Section Fatte Section Section Section Section 2018.]