

# How Can Natural Language Processing Support Emergency Management?

**NLP for Classification of Tweets During Crisis-Events** 

## Karen Garcia Mesa

Department of Computer Science Stanford University karengar@stanford.edu

#### Elin Lovisa Byman

Department of Computer Science Stanford Univeristy byman@stanford.edu

#### **Matan Lamdan**

Department of Computer Science Stanford Univeristy mlamdan@stanford.edu

# **Abstract**

Social media has become an increasingly important source of information for emergency services during disasters. In this project, we analyze and compare the effectiveness of three state of the art deep learning models for detecting informativeness of disaster-related tweets in real time. We used the CrisisLexT26 dataset which comprises of 250,000 tweets regarding 12 disaster types from a total of 26 different crisis-events which occurred in 2012 and 2013.

Our findings have shown that generalized detection models work better when being trained on all type of crisis compared to the specialized models. The best architecture (XLNet) achieved a AUC between 0.86 and 0.94, showcasing its potential as a useful tool for future emergency response efforts.

**Keywords:** Natural Language Processing, Crisis Management, Tweet Classification

# 1 Introduction

Collecting and analyzing data quickly is of utmost importance during crisis situations such as natural disasters and human conflicts. Social media has become an increasingly important source of information, often outpacing traditional media outlets. However, a key challenge in analyzing disaster-related information on social media is recognizing which posts could be relevant for relief efforts. In this project, we propose analyzing crises through the lens of twitter, and building a classifier which will select posts containing relevant information for disaster-management (1; 2). Specifically, we will address the following questions:

- A Model effectiveness in real-time disasters of the same type: How well can a model trained on one type of disaster (i.e floods, shootings, etc.) perform on similar events of the same type? What amount of data will be needed to train a successful event-specific network?
- B Model effectiveness in real-time disasters of different types: Can information be transferred between different types of disasters. That is, can a model trained on data pertaining to certain types of disasters perform well on tweets relating to a new disaster?

CS230: Deep Learning, Spring 2022, Stanford University, CA. (LateX template borrowed from NIPS 2017.)

The input to our model is thus the text from a tweet, and the output states whether the tweet is informative or not. We are evaluating three different architectures, LSTM with Word2Vec embedding, BERT and XLNet, to find the highest performing model.

# 2 Related work

Detection and classification of disaster related tweets has been studied in a number of publications, using a lot of different approaches. The approaches can, as suggested in (1), be divided into three main categories: rules and keywords based approached, machine learning models based on feature engineering and neural networks.

The rules and keywords based approaches uses a dictionary of keywords to classify tweets, based on some predefined rules. Abel et al. (3) suggest such an approach, but increasing performance by continuously improving the information filtering to the current context. Olteanu et al. (4) investigates how to find the best keywords to use in such an approach, and suggests a lexicon of keywords called CrisisLex, specifically designed to have a high performance on classifying crisis tweets. Since the lexicon is designed to work well on crisis tweets, this is the lexicon we have used in our baseline approach.

As described by Habdank et al. (5), many different machine learning algorithms can be used together with hand engineered features, e.g. Naïve Bayes, Decision Trees, Random Forests or Support Vector Machines. They also show that Random Forests outperforms Support Vector Machines on the Ludwigshafen incident dataset.

Even though the machine learning algorithms with feature engineering often performs well, they are often outperformed by recent neural networks (1). Both Nguyen et al. (6) as well as Burel and Alani (7) uses a convolutional neural network with Word2Vec embeddings for tweet classification. Even though Word2Vec is a widely used word embedding method, other word embeddings has showed better performance lately. Two such methods are the BERT model and the XLNet model (8).

Since the machine learning algorithms with feature engineering most often are outperformed by neural networks, we have decided to focus on three neural network approaches, namely LSTM with Word2Vec, BERT and XLNet.

# 3 Dataset and Features

The raw data consists of more than 28,000 tweets in a multitude of languages related to 26 different crises (9). All of the crises occurred in 2012 or 2013 and includes, for example, the Boston bombings, Australian wildfires and LA Airport shootings. The data is classified by crowdsource workers as "Related and Informative", "Related - but not informative", "Not related" and "Not applicable". Since the goal of this project is to build a classifier which will select posts containing relevant information for disaster management, the data was relabeled as "Informative" or "Not Informative", where "Informative" included the "Related and Informative" tweets and "Not Informative" included tweets with all other labels.

The dataset was preprocessed by

- translating all non-English tweets,
- changing all letters to lowercase,
- replacing all URLs with <url>, hashtags with <hashtag> and user mentions with <user>,
- replacing emojis and emoticons with the text they represent and
- removing all duplicated tweets.

Table 1 shows a few examples of the data.

# 4 Methods

Traditionally, encoder-decoder architectures and attention model architectures was often used within Natural Language Processing (NLP). While traditional attention models does perform well consider-

Table 1: Examples of the data.

Original Tweet	Processed Tweet	Original Label	Informativeness			
Welcome to our newest	welcome to our newest	Not related	Not informative			
STUDENTathlete- Reagan Biech-	studentathlete- reagan biechler					
ler from Colorado Springs (CO) '13-	from colorado springs (co) '13-					
Baseball. http://t.co/lzeiYMpq	baseball. <url></url>					
#Media Large wildfire in N. Col-	<pre><hashtag> large wildfire in n. col-</hashtag></pre>	Related and in-	Informative			
orado prompts evacuations: Crews	orado prompts evacuations: crews	formative				
are battling a fast-moving wildf	are battling a fast-moving wildf					
http://t.co/ju1BGTKH #Politics #News	<url> <hashtag> <hashtag></hashtag></hashtag></url>					
It is doingsome raining #onthefarm!!!	it is doingsome raining <a href="hashtag">\!!!</a>	Related - but	Not Informative			
LORD send of this to put out the fires	lord send of this to put out the fires	not informative				
in Colorado. #Thrutheflames safety!!!	in colorado. <a href="hashtag">hashtag</a> > safety!!!					
#BelovedofGOD	<hashtag></hashtag>					

ing the hard task of NLP, they did reach a limit where the performance did not improve by adding more training data or more training time. Due to this a new approach, using pre-trained embeddings, became more widely used within NLP and is still an important part of state-of-the-art NLP architectures (10). There exists a lot of different pre-trained embeddings, where the main differences between different encoders is whether the embeddings are contextual or not, which pre-training task that has been used, and the architecture of the pre-training model (8).

In this project three deep learning architectures are implemented and compared. The architectures are LSTM classifier trained with Word2Vec, BERT and XLNet. As a baseline approach filtering by characteristics was used. Since the task is a binary classification task, the loss function used for each of the methods is the binary cross entropy. Our solutions was implemented using a number of libraries for Python (11; 12; 13; 14; 15; 16; 17; 18).

#### 4.1 Baseline

As mentioned, filtering by characteristics was used as a baseline approach. The filtering by characteristics classifies the tweets by searching for tweets with specific hashtags, keywords or location (2). If the tweet contains at least one keyword from a list of words it is classified as informative, otherwise it is classified as not informative. Appendix A contains a full list of keywords, but some examples of keywords are victims, bombing, massive, storm and rescue.

## 4.2 LSTM with Word2Vec

Word2Vec is a common non-contextual word embedding. When first created in 2012, Word2Vec achieved state-of-the-art performance. The benefits on Word2Vec compared to word embeddings used before Word2Vec was the fact that it was a simpler method which decreased the computational complexity of the model and allowed for training on a larger dataset. Word2Vec uses two different architectures, one continuous Bag-of-Words model and one continuous Skip-gram model, where the choice of model can be seen as a hyperparameter for the Word2Vec embedding (19).

The LSTM based model implemented is composed of the main LSTM layer, followed by a drop-out layer and a dense layer with a Relu activation function and ending with a Softmax classification layer.

#### **4.3** BERT

Even though Word2Vec achieved state-of-the-art performance when it was first created, a lot of other word embeddings has outperformed Word2Vec since then. One such model is the Bidirectional Encoder Representations from Transformers (BERT) model. The BERT model is a bidirectional method which means that it takes advantage of words both before and after the current word in the embedding. The pre-training task used to train the BERT model is to predict masked words (20).

In our implementation of the BERT model we used the pre-trained BERT encoding layer, followed by a drop-out layer and a dense layer with a sigmoid activation function (21).

Table 2: Split of data for scenario 1.

Crisis Type	Crisis	Number of tweets	Data		
Earthquakes	Bohol earthquake	1000	Train/Validation		
	Costa Rica earthquake	1412	Train/Validation		
	Guatemala earthquake	1050	Train/Validation		
	Italy earthquakes	1000	Test		
Floods	Alberta floods	1001	Train/Validation		
	Colorado floods	1000	Train/Validation		
	Manila floods	1000	Train/Validation		
	Philippines floods	1000	Train/Validation		
	Queensland floods	1200	Test		
	Sardinia floods	1000	Test		
Derailments	Lac-Megantic train crash	1001	Train/Validation		
	NYC train crash	1000	Train/Validation		
	Spain train crash	1000	Test		

#### 4.4 XLNet

BERT is still widely used within NLP, but it has some limitations. One model which aims to overcome the limitations of the BERT model is XLNet. It does so by combining the bidirectional approach which BERT also utilizes with an autoregressive training which allows for training without masking any words, and thus avoid to remove the context the masked words provides (1; 22).

Similar to the BERT implementation, the XLNet-based model is composed of a XLNet main block followed by a dropout layer for regularization and a classification layer with a sigmoid activation function.

# 5 Experiments/Results/Discussion

#### 5.1 Experiments

In the first scenario, the goal is to test the effectiveness of the models by only training on data from the same type of disaster, see Section 1. More specifically, each model will be trained and validated on a specific disaster type and then tested on another crisis of the same type. Table 2 shows how the data was split for scenario 1. The training and validation data was split randomly with 85% of the examples belonging to the training set and the remaining 15% belonging to the validation set.

The second scenario aims to test the performance of the models in the transfer of information between disasters. In this case the models were trained using 22 293 tweets belonging to 22 different disasters and tested on the remaining 4 disasters. The same test sets as i scenario 1 was used, but the training set was not divided into different crisis types. Instead the data was used all together, and also included other crisis types besides derailments, earthquakes and floods. As for scenario 1 the training and validation set was split randomly, with 85% in the training set and 15% in the validation set.

For each model and each of the scenarios the hyperparameters were decided by first doing a literature search to find what hyperparameters had worked best for similar models before. Based on these values a range for each hyperparameter was set from which the hyperparameters were randomly sampled. The sampled hyperparameters was used to test the model performance. This was done multiple times and the results on the validation set were compared to find the best hyperparameters for each model.

#### 5.2 Results

Table 5.3 shows the results for each of the models. The best model for each crisis type based on the AUC is highlighted in bold. The left half shows the results of scenario 1, the best model trained on tweets from only one crisis type. The AUC scores of these specialized models range from 0.82 on earthquakes to 0.93 on floods.

The right half of Table 5.3 shows the results of scenario 2, models trained on data from all crisis types. On this dataset the generic model works better on earthquakes and derailments, while the more specialized model trained on only one disaster type work better for floods.

Table 3: AUC, accuracy, precision, recall and F1-score of all models. The precision, recall and F1-scores are reported separately for the two classes, Informative (I) and Not Informative (NI).

Crisis Type	Scenario 1										1	Scenari	o 2			Recall I NI							
	Model	AUC A	Accuracy	F1-score		Precision		Recall		Model	AUC	Accuracy	F1-score		Precision		Recall						
			Accuracy	I	NI	I	NI	I	NI	Model	AUC	Accuracy	I	NI	I	NI	I	NI					
Earthquakes	Baseline	-	0.55	0.53	0.57	0.76	0.44	0.40	0.79	Baseline	-	0.55	0.53	0.57	0.76	0.44	0.40	0.79					
	Word2Vec	0.53	0.53	0.60	0.44	0.65	0.40	0.55	0.50	Word2Vec	0.51	0.48	0.62	0.31	0.61	0.33	0.64	0.30					
	BERT	0.65	0.71	0.79	0.53	0.72	0.68	0.88	0.43	BERT	0.76	0.75	0.79	0.70	0.85	0.64	0.74	0.78					
	XLNet	0.82	0.64	0.62	0.66	0.92	0.51	0.47	0.93	XLNet	0.86	0.80	0.83	0.74	0.86	0.71	0.81	0.78					
Floods	Baseline	-	0.65	0.68	0.61	0.78	0.53	0.60	0.72	Baseline	-	0.65	0.68	0.61	0.78	0.53	0.60	0.72					
	Word2Vec	0.64	0.62	0.73	0.44	0.67	0.53	0.80	0.37	Word2Vec	0.59	0.60	0.67	0.46	0.66	0.47	0.68	0.45					
	BERT	0.74	0.73	0.76	0.68	0.83	0.62	0.71	0.77	BERT	0.75	0.76	0.79	0.70	0.83	0.66	0.76	0.75					
	XLNet	0.93	0.90	0.93	0.78	0.90	0.86	0.96	0.71	XLNet	0.92	0.84	0.86	0.81	0.93	0.73	0.80	0.90					
Derailments	Baseline	-	0.52	0.60	0.38	0.75	0.29	0.50	0.55	Baseline		0.52	0.60	0.38	0.75	0.29	0.50	0.55					
	Word2Vec	0.72	0.48	0.83	0.20	0.72	0.16	0.97	0.10	Word2Vec	0.53	0.50	0.64	0.35	0.74	0.28	0.56	0.47					
	BERT	0.61	0.76	0.85	0.40	0.78	0.59	0.92	0.31	BERT	0.66	0.77	0.85	0.51	0.81	0.59	0.89	0.44					
	XLNet	0.90	0.83	0.85	0.79	0.90	0.73	0.81	0.86	XLNet	0.94	0.86	0.90	0.77	0.94	0.70	0.86	0.86					

When comparing the model results to the filtering baseline it is noticeable that our model perform a lot better than the baseline. Our best performing models has an accuracy ranging between 0.64 and 0.90, while the baseline has an accuracy between 0.52 and 0.65. The F1-score is also a lot better with the suggested model compared to the baseline model, since the baseline model has a F1-score between 0.38 and 0.68 while the suggested model has a F1-score between 0.62 and 0.93.

#### 5.3 Discussion

From the results it is clear that the fine-tuned XLNet performs the best for all types of crisis and both scenarios. This is not surprising since XLNet was created with the goal of reducing the shortcomings of BERT, which in turn is a more modern embedding model than Word2Vec, see Section 4.

The improvement of performance in scenario 2 compared to scenario 1 for earthquakes and derailments suggests that it was beneficial to add more training data for these types of crises, even though the additional data did not belong to the same type of crisis. This was however not true for the floods. One possible explanation for this is that the dataset contains more data related to floods, than data related to either earthquakes or derailments, see Table 2. Adding data from other types of crises was thus important for crisis types where the availability of data was low, but instead hurt performance for crisis types with more available data.

# 6 Conclusion/Future Work

We used a benchmark corpus of human-curated tweets related to 26 disasters, grouped into 12 crisis types, the CrisisLexT26. With this data we compared 13 models over 4,200 tweets and classified each tweet as informative or not informative. The classifiers was a mixture of generalized and specialized models. The best generic model achieve an AUC between 0.86 and 0.94 and a F1-score between 0.80 and 0.86. The generic model trained on all disasters perform slightly better in terms of AUC, accuracy, and F1-score than the specialized models.

A fine-tuned implementation of XLNet achieved accuracy of about 0.9 in classifying disaster-related tweets, outperforming other models, and potentially serving as a useful tool for relief efforts.

One aspect to further improve upon is to extend the dataset in order to create a larger and more representative dataset. Since the specialized classifier with the most training data was the only classifier that performed better than the generic classifier, this could potentially improve the specialized classifiers beyond the generic classifier.

# 7 Contributions

The contributions to this project was the following: **Karen Garcia Mesa:** Preprocessing, Baseline, XLNet

Elin Lovisa Byman: Translation, BERT

Matan Lamdan: Data statistics, LSTM with Word2Vec

# References

- [1] M. Wiegmann, J. Kersten, F. Klan, M. Potthast, and B. Stein, "Analysis of detection models for disaster-related tweets," in *ISCRAM 2020*, A. L. Hughes, F. McNeill, and C. Zobel, Eds., May 2020, pp. 872–880. [Online]. Available: https://elib.dlr.de/137213/
- [2] A. Kruspe, J. Kersten, and F. Klan, "Review article: Detection of actionable tweets in crisis events," *Natural Hazards and Earth System Sciences*, vol. 21, no. 6, pp. 1825–1845, 2021. [Online]. Available: https://nhess.copernicus.org/articles/21/1825/2021/
- [3] F. Abel, C. Hauff, G.-J. Houben, R. Stronkman, and K. Tao, "Twitcident: fighting fire with information from social web streams," in *Proceedings of the 21st international conference on world wide web*, 2012, pp. 305–308.
- [4] A. Olteanu, C. Castillo, F. Diaz, and S. Vieweg, "Crisislex: A lexicon for collecting and filtering microblogged communications in crises," in *Eighth international AAAI conference on weblogs and social media*, 2014.
- [5] M. Habdank, N. Rodehutskors, and R. Koch, "Relevancy assessment of tweets using supervised learning techniques: Mining emergency related tweets for automated relevancy classification," in 2017 4th International Conference on Information and Communication Technologies for Disaster Management (ICT-DM), 2017, pp. 1–8.
- [6] D. T. Nguyen, K. A. Al Mannai, S. Joty, H. Sajjad, M. Imran, and P. Mitra, "Robust classification of crisis-related data on social networks using convolutional neural networks," in *Eleventh international AAAI conference on web and social media*, 2017.
- [7] G. Burel and H. Alani, "Crisis event extraction service (crees)-automatic detection and classification of crisis-related content on social media," 2018.
- [8] X. Qiu, T. Sun, Y. Xu, Y. Shao, N. Dai, and X. Huang, "Pre-trained models for natural language processing: A survey," *Science China Technological Sciences*, vol. 63, p. 1872–1897, 2020. [Online]. Available: https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s11431-020-1647-3
- [9] A. Olteanu, S. Vieweg, and C. Castillo, "What to expect when the unexpected happens: Social media communications across crises," in *Proceedings of the 18th ACM Conference on Computer Supported Cooperative Work & Social Computing*, ser. CSCW '15. New York, NY, USA: Association for Computing Machinery, 2015, p. 994–1009. [Online]. Available: https://doi.org/10.1145/2675133.2675242
- [10] J. K. Tripathy, S. C. Sethuraman, M. V. Cruz, A. Namburu, M. P., N. K. R., S. I. S, and V. Vijayakumar, "Comprehensive analysis of embeddings and pre-training in nlp," *Computer Science Review*, vol. 42, p. 100433, 2021. [Online]. Available: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1574013721000733
- [11] C. R. Harris, K. J. Millman, S. J. van der Walt, R. Gommers, P. Virtanen, D. Cournapeau, E. Wieser, J. Taylor, S. Berg, N. J. Smith, R. Kern, M. Picus, S. Hoyer, M. H. van Kerkwijk, M. Brett, A. Haldane, J. Fernández del Río, M. Wiebe, P. Peterson, P. Gérard-Marchant, K. Sheppard, T. Reddy, W. Weckesser, H. Abbasi, C. Gohlke, and T. E. Oliphant, "Array programming with NumPy," *Nature*, vol. 585, p. 357–362, 2020.
- [12] W. McKinney *et al.*, "Data structures for statistical computing in python," in *Proceedings of the 9th Python in Science Conference*, vol. 445. Austin, TX, 2010, pp. 51–56.
- [13] M. Abadi, P. Barham, J. Chen, Z. Chen, A. Davis, J. Dean, M. Devin, S. Ghemawat, G. Irving, M. Isard et al., "Tensorflow: A system for large-scale machine learning," in 12th {USENIX} Symposium on Operating Systems Design and Implementation ({OSDI} 16), 2016, pp. 265–283.
- [14] F. Chollet et al. (2015) Keras. [Online]. Available: https://github.com/fchollet/keras
- [15] F. Pedregosa, G. Varoquaux, A. Gramfort, V. Michel, B. Thirion, O. Grisel, M. Blondel, P. Prettenhofer, R. Weiss, V. Dubourg *et al.*, "Scikit-learn: Machine learning in python," *Journal of machine learning research*, vol. 12, no. Oct, pp. 2825–2830, 2011.

- [16] T. Kim and K. Wurster. (2014) Emoji for python. [Online]. Available: https://github.com/carpedm20/emoji/
- [17] M. M. Danilak. (2014) Langdetect. [Online]. Available: https://github.com/fedelopez77/langdetect
- [18] LuShan. (2020) Google translate new. [Online]. Available: https://github.com/lushan88a/google\_trans\_new
- [19] T. Mikolov, K. Chen, G. Corrado, and J. Dean, "Efficient estimation of word representations in vector space," arXiv preprint arXiv:1301.3781, 2013.
- [20] J. Devlin, M.-W. Chang, K. Lee, and K. Toutanova, "Bert: Pre-training of deep bidirectional transformers for language understanding," *arXiv preprint arXiv:1810.04805*, 2018.
- [21] S. Sonu, *BERT Model NLP with disaster tweets*, Dublin, Ireland, 2022 [Online]. [Online]. Available: https://www.kaggle.com/code/sanketsonu/bert-model-nlp-with-disaster-tweets/
- [22] Z. Yang, Z. Dai, Y. Yang, J. Carbonell, R. R. Salakhutdinov, and Q. V. Le, "Xlnet: Generalized autoregressive pretraining for language understanding," *Advances in neural information processing systems*, vol. 32, 2019.

# A Keywords used for filtering in baseline approach

flood crisis victims flood victims flood powerful powerful storms hoisted flood storms amazing explosion amazing rescue rescue women flood cost counts flood toll rises braces river river peaks crisis deepens prayers thoughts prayers affected tornado affected death toll tornado relief photos flood water rises toll flood waters flood appeal victims explosion bombing suspect massive explosion affected areas praying victims injured please join join praying prayers people redcross text redcross visiting flood lurches fire video explosion deepens death opposed flood help flood died explosions marathon explosions flood relief donate first responders flood affected donate cross braces tornado victims deadly prayers affected

explosions running

evacuated relief flood death deaths confirmed affected flooding people killed dozens footage survivor finds worsens eastern flood worsens flood damage people dead girl died flood donation help major flood rubble another explosion confirmed dead rescue send prayers flood warnings tornado survivor damage devastating flood toll affected hurricane prayers families releases photos hundreds injured inundated crisis text donation redcross give recede bombing massive bombing victims explosion ripped gets donated donated victims relief efforts news flood flood emergency give online fire flood huge explosion bushfire torrential rains residents

breaking news

redcross donate

disaster

affected explosion

someone captured

tragedy enforcement people injured twister blast crisis deepens injuries reported fatalities donated million donations assist dead explosion survivor death suspect dead peaks deaths love prayers explosion fertiliser explosion reported return home evacuees large explosion firefighters morning flood praying public safety txting redcross destroyed displaced fertilizer explosion unknown number donate tornado retweet donate flood tornado casualties climate change financial donations stay strong dead hundreds major explosion bodies recovered waters recede response disasters victims donate unaccounted fire fighters explosion victims prayers city accepting financial torrential bomber disasters txting explosion registered missing flood volunteers brought hurricane relief fund

help tornado explosion fire ravaged prayers tonight tragic enforcement official saddened dealing hurricane impacted flood recovery stream dead torrential flood years nursing recover responders massive tornado buried alive alive rubble crisis rises flood peak homes inundated flood ravaged explosion video killed injured killed people people died missing explosion make donation floods kill tornado damage entire crowd cross tornado terrifying need terrifying even scary cost deaths facing flood deadly explosion dead missing floods force flood disaster tornado disaster medical examiner help victims hundreds homes severe flooding shocking video bombing witnesses magnitude firefighters police fire explosion storm flood hits floodwaters emergency

flash flood flood alerts crisis unfolds daring rescue tragic events medical office deadly tornado people trapped police officer explosion voted lives hurricane bombings reports breaking suspect bombing investigation praying affected reels surging surging floods teenager floods rescue teenager appeal launched explosion injured injured explosion responders killed explosion caught city tornado help text name hurricane damaged hurricane breaking arrest suspect bombing massive manhunt releases images shot killed rains severely house flood live coverage devastating tornado lost lives reportedly dead following explosion

remember lives tornado flood want help seconds bombing reported dead imminent rebuild safe hurricane surviving injuries prayers victims police suspect warning help affected kills forces dead floods flood threat military flood situation thousands homes risk running dead injured dying hurricane loss life thoughts victims bombing shot breaking enforcement police people video capturing feared dead terrible explosion prayers involved reported injured seismic victims waters flood homeowners flood claims homeowners reconnect reconnect power power supplies

rescuers help free hotline hotline help please stay investigation saddened loss identified suspect bombings saddened killed police dead praying community registered magnitude leave town reported explosion heart praying life heart prepare hurricane landfall crisis worsens arrest bombing case suspect run communities damaged destruction levy tornado hurricane coming toxins flood release toxins toxins supplies waters crisis found braces major government negligent attack hurricane rebuilt communities help rebuilt rebuilt

buried heart prayers flood levy watch hurricane victims lost soldier waiting hurricane run massive high river terror memorial service terror attack coast hurricane terrified hurricane aftermath suspect killed suspect pinned lost legs hurricane category names terrified authorities assist people hurricane black unknown soldier events safety troops disaster relief cleanup troops lend effected hurricane time hurricane saying hurricane praying families dramatic path hurricane

rescuers