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Abstract
In my work I created infrastructure in C++11 for making inference and learning for Feed-Forward Neural Nets. Project contains all need source code and does not contain any extra dependencies. You can take this project and compile for your target embedded device with Windows or any Posix OS (like Linux) and perform inference and learning on it.

Project can be built if your CPU support SSE2 or AVX2 CPU instruction extensions. But even if you CPU do not contain such instructions and you have C++11 compiler then you can build this project.

If comparing Learning time for Feed-Forward Neural Net with RELU(or RAMP) activation function and via using RMSprop as optimization algorithm then this work lead to x4 faster computations.

1. Introduction
   In world of Machine Learning Python is most popular language for prototyping. This language has different benefits especially if compare with other scripting languages ([1]).
   But in fact when algorithms are known and established it can be a case that Python (and any script language like Matlab) is not the best choice if concentrating more in some production quality software. One intermediate step from Python which eliminate some drawback of programming language is using Cython, but it’s only intermediate step.
   In my opinion once algorithm have been established and we need speed then it’s time to use C++. Using of C++ lead to two important benefits – some errors compiler will catch during compilation and the result is optimized binary file contains instruction for target CPU, without any intermediate byte-code (which exist in Python, Java, C#). Cross-platform aspects of the programming environment in my opinion should lie in area of unifying interfaces to Operation System and Drivers. In my work I created infrastructure in C++11 for making inference and learning for deep neural nets.
   AI (Artificial Intelligence) expand and go into different areas. In future people will create more complicated models then they do nowadays they will need such the most possible effective tools including tool for deep neural nets. At this phase of development I created Light Weight Experimental NN Framework with only need things. Experimental Light Neural Net Framework consist of several parts, but top level schema is the following:

2. Previous Works
There are exist several popular framework for Deep Learning. Keras is an open-source neural-network library (Written in Python). TensorFlow is a free and open-source software library for dataflow and differentiable programming across a range of tasks (Written in Python, C++, CUDA). Examples of other popular frameworks are: Caffe (UC Berkeley), Caffe2 (Facebook), Torch (NYU / Facebook), PyTorch (Facebook), Theano (U
Montreal, PaddlePaddle (Baidu), MXNet (Amazon), CNTK (Microsoft). Standard frameworks allow test new ideas and allow automatically compute gradients. Unfortunately this frameworks hide how they do what they do. In case of big data It is important because in that case you should think twice before perform any not-usefull memory copypinh or computations.

Another interesting project is DartNet https://pierredd.com/darknet/. It’s project from author of YOLO and it was written in C. But scale C language project is not an easy task.

### 3. Dataset and Features

Dataset is synthetically generated via using lw_nn_data_generation program [5]. Program can be launched as:

```
lw_nn_data_generation --dbg_plot_address 127.0.0.1 --dbg_plot_port 4545 -n 10 -m 2
```

It mean generate test data set with number of samples equal to 10 and number of features equal to 2. Formula which encode response is hardcoded in code and in current implementation it is:

\[ y = 1^T x + 1^T \log(|x| + 1), x \in \mathbb{R}^n \]

After having generated test set you can use several python scripts to inspect content and make some operations https://bitbucket.org/bruzuz/comp-graph-eco/src/master/src/lw_neural_net_framework/tools/lw_nn_data Scripts/

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Script name</th>
<th>Reason of existing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>lw_nn_data_info.py</td>
<td>Report statistic information about data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lw_nn_holdout_cv.py</td>
<td>Split set into to disjoint sets for holdout cross-validation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lw_nn_read_top_n_samples.py</td>
<td>Read top n samples. First argument is filename, second is number of examples</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 4. Methods

Methods for making inference and learning implemented in lw nn learn and inference console program.

```
lw_nn_learn_and_inference learn --dbg_plot_address 127.0.0.1 --dbg_plot_port 4545 --in D:/train.txt --in_train_fraction 0.8
```

#### 4.1 A bit history

Neural Nets approach in context of using backpropagation have been published in 1986 by Hinton, Rumelhart and Williams. This days Neural Networks come back, even in past they have difficult history.

#### 4.2 A picture for multilayer feed-forward neural-net and Feed Forward

Classical multi-layer network pictorial can be represented as neurons connected in “cascade style”
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It's only picture. From equation point of view the Neural Net can be considered as a long nested composition of apply affine transformation for input $Z = Wx + b$ and after apply affine transformation apply some non-linearity $g$. If talking more specifically then:

$$Z^{[1]} = W^{[1]}x + b^{[1]}$$
$$A^{[1]} = g(Z^{[1]})$$

This two equations show how input $x$ is maps into $A$, i.e. this setups mapping $X \rightarrow A^{[1]}$. During apply this equation in layers after input layer then recurrent relation is the following:

$$Z^{[i]} = W^{[i]}A^{[i-1]} + b^{[i]}$$
$$A^{[i]} = g(Z^{[i]})$$

This elementary operations is sequentially applied in long chain list up to output layer where finally typically some final happens

$\textbf{Out} = A = g(Z)$ . Typically last $g$ can be identity mapping for regression, softmax block for multi-class classification, series of logistic function for multi-class classification but when it is possible that several classes are represented in input $X$.

Parallel computation is possible for all nodes in one layer because they all are completely independent during forward phase (and backward phase too).

### 4.3 Big picture why back-propagation is important

Neural Network can be considered as a big directed acyclic graph. Nodes in one level receive inputs only from nodes from previous level and affect directly only to nodes in the next layer. Purpose of backpropagation is not to recalculate already known intermediate Jacobians. In some sense I think it can be stated that Backpropagation is clever way to apply chain-rule. I have derived backpropagation rule in [7]. My derivation are not so well applicable because they has more theoretical characteristics and really bias(or intercept) term is not so well fitted into it. I come to decision during my work in software use recurrent relation which I studied during CS230 class ([8]) in first part dedicated for classical Neural Nets. The derivation is out of scope of this note.

Backpropagation allow to fastly compute gradient of the Loss function $L(F(x;w), y)$ with respect to w (most popular scenario, even it can be a case that you’re interesting in gradient w.r.t. to $x$). In my work I implemented mini-batched variant of back-propagation algorithm.

### 4.4 About speedup first-order methods implemented in work

In Machine Learning there is a great trick to evaluate gradient not for complete loss function, which is objective to minimize, but evaluate it via compute it for each observation or for some subset (mini-batch). Whole pass over the whole data whatever strategy we selected called “epoc“. If during last “epoc” parameters has not changed then it means that algorithm converged. People in Neural Network talk about 400-500 epochs as a typical number.

One improvement about which ML community think about – is use convex combination with factor alpha to select convex combination with previous gradient and new. And at the beginning of each epoc make zero it. In fact there are a lot of schema how to make smooth search direction first-order method which have been founded in are of mathematics called Convex optimization. Methods implemented in ENNF:

1) **Stochastic Gradient Descend:** $x^{k+1} = x^k - a_k g^k, a_k > 0$. I learned from EE364B slides of S.Boyd and J.Duchi [11]. In [10] S.Boyd mentioned that all Subgradient methods have been developed in USSR in 1960 and 1970.

2) **Heavy Ball method:** $x^{k+1} = x^k - a_k g^k + \beta_k (x^k - x^{k-1}), a_k > 0, \beta_k > 0$ subgradient with state. Boris Polyak refers to it as the Heavy Ball method. The role of second term is momentum.

3) **Momentum:** $s^k = (1 - \beta) g^k + \beta s^{k-1}$

4) **RmsProp:** $\Sigma^k = decay(\Sigma^{k-1}) + (1 - \text{decay})(g^k)^2$ and $s^k = \frac{g^k}{(\sqrt{\Sigma^k} + \text{eps})}$

5) **Adam.** Adaptive Moment Estimation. This algorithm in fact combine of Momentum and RMSProp.
\[ m_1 = \text{decay}(m_{1,\text{prev}}) + (1 - \text{decay})g^k \]  (Momentum for \( g^k \))

\[ m_2 = \text{decay}(m_{2,\text{prev}}) + (1 - \text{decay})(g^k)^2 \]  (Momentum for \((g^k)^2\))

\[ m^\text{unbias}_1 = \frac{m_1}{(1-\beta_1^t)} \]  (Bias correction) \text{ and } \[ m^\text{unbias}_2 = \frac{m_2}{(1-\beta_2^t)} \]  (Bias correction) \text{ and } \[ s^k = \frac{m^\text{unbias}_1}{\sqrt{m^\text{unbias}_2 + \epsilon}} \]

4.5 About regularization technics implemented in work

Regularization has the following meaning in optimization community: “Regularization is a common scalarization technic for solve problem with two objectives” ([11], p.306). This word have different flavor in machine learning. In ML this days it means any activity “not perfect” fit train data. In work I considered several regularization technics.

1. **Early stopping.** Dividing learn set into learn/dev set (90%/10%). Idea to stop when validation goes up. There is no guarantee that it will not came back, but it’s very good heuristic to stop.

2. **Inverted Drop out.** During forward and backward propagate half of the network nodes output is set up to zero. It’s the same as this nodes are completely removed during phase. The schema is to out with some probability “p” some neurons in the whole computation graph.

3. **Extra regularization term for L2 norm square and L1 square in minimize objective.** Because problem that we solve is non-convex then to be within a room of heuristics applicability of first order method for non-convex optimization problems we really can append anything which encode prior information and has (sub)gradient.

Experiments and Results

To evaluate success of the project I will use the several metrics. First metric is number of lines of code.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Language</th>
<th>files</th>
<th>blank</th>
<th>comment</th>
<th>code</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C/C++ Reader</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>1820</td>
<td>3394</td>
<td>8702</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C++</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>1450</td>
<td>271</td>
<td>7911</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Python</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>485</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oracle</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>388</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YAML</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSX</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOS Batch</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bourne Shell</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>164</td>
<td>3538</td>
<td>3850</td>
<td>17589</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Second to estimate result of work I created some specific architecture and compare learning time for my ADAM solver during learning with ADAM solver used in TensorFlow v1.13.1

For example learning during 100 epochs based on train data and script from:


Lead to following results:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method / Approach</th>
<th>CPU TensorFlow v1.13.1</th>
<th>Experimental Neural Net Framework</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ADAM optimizer</td>
<td>1.115 seconds</td>
<td>0.306</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SGD optimizer</td>
<td>1.105 seconds</td>
<td>0.298</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

I tried to be extremely honest and really I did not take into account all initialization time for TensorFlow.

Also in TensorFlow there a calculation of cost for minibatch. For ENNF to mimic this I evaluated MSE over train set completely. Really there is a room for decrease numbers for ENNF even more.

Convergence to the same result as cvxpy and sklearn gave as baseline. The last thing I would like to share my experimental evaluation for different optimization algorithms. From this particular experiment I launch for 50 epochs various optimization algorithm in the Neural Net with computation schema:

**LINEAR -> RELU -> LINEAR -> RELU -> LINEAR -> RELU -> LINEAR. Minibatch size is 10.**
Input layer contain 30 neurons, output layer contains 1 neuron. Use loss is Mean Square Error Quartatic loss. There are 3 hidden layers and number in neuron in these layers are: 5, 5, 3. In my particular example.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Params</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 1  | Polyak Heavy Ball method | `double kAlphaPolyak = 0.01;`  \(\text{//< Polyak Heavy Ball}\)  
|    |                   | `double kBetaPolyak = 0.0001;`  \(\text{//< Polyak Heavy Ball}\)  |
| 2  | RMSProp method    | `double kAlphaRmsProp = 0.01;`  \(\text{//< RmsProp}\)  
|    |                   | `double kBetaRmsProp = 0.95;`  \(\text{//< RmsProp}\)  |
| 3  | ADAM method       | `double kAlphaAdam = 0.01;`  \(\text{//< ADAM}\)  
|    |                   | `double kBeta1Adam = 0.9;`  \(\text{//< ADAM}\)  
|    |                   | `double kBeta2Adam = 0.99;`  \(\text{//< ADAM}\)  |
| 4  | Momentum method   | `double kAlphaMomentum = 0.01;`  \(\text{//< Momentum}\)  
|    |                   | `double kBetaMomentum = 0.95;`  \(\text{//< Momentum}\)  |

**Conclusion:**

1. Most of smooth methods are developed for speed-up convex optimization problems. It’s rather hard to say how method will behave in some specific circumstances.
2. Because Theory is developed behind this methods can give guarantees how method behaves for convex optimization problems, it’s really can say nothing in specific circumstances how method behaves.
3. In this method Heavy Ball Boris Polyak’s method was lucky.

**Conclusion and Future Work**

The need elements of software have been successfully implemented, code is documented, and big part of code is covered by unit-tests. I think work can be estimated as completed successfully.

**Future directions of work**

1. Append ability to insert arbitrarily expression in `lw_nn_data_generation` for have ability in automatic way test how good Deep Neural Net can approximate some functions with specific algebraic form.
2. Append support of Convolution Neural Nets
3. Append support of showing image in plotter tool.
4. Append support of visualizing configured Neural Net via `graphviz`
5. Try use YOLO: Real-Time Object Detection to have more fast algorithms for training and inference.
6. Append NVIDIA CUDA support. I started make strps in this direction, but really there are still a lot of work
7. My current vision that in future instead having several methods and select which to use a-priori it can be better to have several methods and switch from them once method was stucked for several iterations.
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